

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Bedfordshire
Programme name	Diploma of Higher Education Operating Department Practice
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Operating department practitioner
Date of submission to HPC	13 September 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Diane Lester (Operating department practitioner) Stephen Oates (Operating department practitioner)
HPC executive	Benjamin Potter

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 4 Curriculum
SET 5 Practice placements
SET 6 Assessment

The major change will affect the number of units, their credit rating and the timing of the delivery of the theoretical component of the award.

The placements will be chronologically adjusted to ensure the patency of the theory/practice relationship. This places post-anaesthetic care and advanced anaesthetic and clinical practice in the second year.

The timing of assessments will be revised in order to give students the opportunity to develop the skills required to be assessed as competent in a variety of ways. The practice documentation is to be reviewed to reflect current trends.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Summary of changes SETs mapping template Curriculum mapping Current course and unit information New course handbook Revised UIF documents Student placement handbook ODP Team CV's

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	1
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Bradford
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant part of HPC register	Biomedical scientist
Date of submission to HPC	2 November 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Mary Macdonald (Biomedical scientist)
HPC executive	Lewis Roberts

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Gillian Jagger has replaced Keith Kitson as course tutor for the programme.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV for Mrs Jagger Major change SETS mapping document

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.

- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	1
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Central Lancashire
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
Relevant part of HPC register	Physiotherapist
Date of submission to HPC	21 December 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Anthony Power (Physiotherapist)
HPC executive	Lewis Roberts

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change of programme.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

A curriculum vitae for the new programme leader HC Stewart.

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.

- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	City University
Programme name	MSc Speech and Language Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Speech and language therapist
Date of submission to HPC	20 December 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Aileen Patterson (Speech and language therapist)
HPC executive	Mandy Hargood

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme Management

Programme leader change.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

<p>Past visitors' reports from previous submissions from 2006-2010 CV for new Programme Leader Context report SETS mapping template PG Dip/MSc Course Handbook (PG Dip precedes MSc)</p>
--

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitor is satisfied that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor recommends to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	City University
Programme name	Pg Dip Speech and Language Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Speech and language therapist
Date of submission to HPC	20 December 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Aileen Patterson (Speech and language therapist)
HPC executive	Mandy Hargood

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme Management

Change of programme leader.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

<p>Past visitors' reports from previous submissions from 2006-2010 CV for new Programme Leader Context report SETS mapping template PG Dip Course Handbook</p>
--

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor recommends to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	Coventry University
Programme name	Diploma of Higher Education Paramedic Science
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Paramedic
Date of submission to HPC	10 December 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Vince Clarke (Paramedic)
HPC executive	Lewis Roberts

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The education provider proposes a change of the Course Director from Christine Bearne to Tim Kilner. Tim Kilner is a Registered Paramedic.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

<p>Tim Kilner's CV Major change sets mapping document</p>

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Physiotherapist
Date of submission to HPC	30 November 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Anthony Power (Physiotherapist) Kathryn Heathcote (Physiotherapist)
HPC executive	Mandy Hargood

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader and changes in academic staff on the physiotherapy programme

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

<p>Minutes of the BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy, MSc Rehabilitation Science Programme Board held on Wednesday 24 November 2010 CV of new Programme Leader CVs of new academic staff Previous Major Change submission 2005-06 Audit BSc (Hons) Annual Monitoring Report</p>
--

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	Goldsmiths College University of London
Programme name	MA Art Psychotherapy
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant part of HPC register	Arts therapy
Relevant modality	Art psychotherapy
Date of submission to HPC	15 September 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Janek Dubowski (Art psychotherapist) Donald Wetherick (Music therapist)
HPC executive	Benjamin Potter

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 2 Programme admissions

SET 3 Programme management and resources

SET 6 Assessment

The changes highlighted by the education provider are those which have come about as the result of the recommendations of the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA). As a result of a complaint received through the education provider complaints process, the Education and Training Committee (ETC) decided that the education provider needed to provide evidence of how the programme continues to meet SETs 2.7, 3.7, 3.11, 3.12, 6.1, 6.5, 6.6 and 6.7. However, if there has been no change to how these SETs continue to be met by the programme the programme team should clearly indicate this. The ETC decided that the major change process was the most suitable process to evaluate these changes.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Major Change Notification Form Student Handbook Placement Handbook PACE prospectus PACE staff development guide Insert to 2010-2011 Student Handbook re Feedback Revised Cover Sheet for Course work
--

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

2.7 The admissions procedures must ensure that the education provider has equality and diversity policies in relation to applicants and students, together with an indication of how these will be implemented and monitored.

Reason: The visitors noted that in the initial submission for this major change that the education provider indicated in the SETs mapping document that on p74 of the PACE prospectus there were details regarding how this SET continues to be met. Therefore, as this was not a clear indication that no changes had been made, the visitors required information detailing how the programme continues to meet this SET. However, the visitors could not determine from the information provided how this SET continues to be met or how any changes made as a result of the requirements of the OIA ruling have affected how this SET continues to be met. Therefore the visitors require a clear indication that either nothing has changed regarding how this SET continues to be met or further information detailing how the changes have affected how the programme continues to meet this SET.

Suggested Documentation: If there has been a change to how this SET continues to be met, in terms of a formal policy or the implementation of this policy, further information should be provided by the education provider. If there has been no change to how this SET continues to be met, a statement reflecting this fact should be provided.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs)

and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University
Programme name	MSc Occupational Therapy (Pre-registration)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Occupational therapist
Date of submission to HPC	20 November 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Nicola Spalding (Occupational therapist)
HPC executive	Lewis Roberts

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The education provider has informed us of a change in course leader from Jane Cronin-Davies to Miranda Thew. Miranda Thew has taken up an acting course leader post (from 1 October 2010) and the education provider has indicated that they will advertise for someone to undertake the role of course leader on a permanent basis. The education provider has also indicated that some of Miranda Thew's existing teaching will be covered by visiting lecturers to enable her to carry out the additional course leader responsibilities.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Major change notification form Major change SETs mapping Faculty management and group structure CV Paul Sharples CV Miranda Thew
--

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Leeds
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Radiography (Diagnostic)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Radiographer
Relevant modality	Diagnostic radiography
Date of submission to HPC	4 January 2011
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Patricia Fillis (Radiographer)
HPC executive	Lewis Roberts

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Programme leader change

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Change notification form
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum Vitae of new Programme Leader

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

New profession major change report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	New School of Psychotherapy & Counselling & Middlesex University
Awarding institution (if different from education provider)	University of Middlesex
Programme name	Existential Counselling Psychology and Psychotherapy (Dpsych)
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitors	Ewan Gillon (Counselling psychologist) Allan Winthrop (Counselling psychologist)
Education executive	Ben Potter
Date of postal review	23 December 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The education provider moved to new premises. The course continues to be offered in partnership with Middlesex University and the syllabi, handbook, placements, staffing and structure of the programme were not changed.

The following documents were submitted as part of the major change submission:

- Change notification form (completed by HPC executive)
- NSPC Newsletter, Autumn 2010
- PowerPoint Slides relating to recent changes
- Email from the programme leader outlining the changes
- Letter from Middlesex University

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The additional documentation is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- The approval visit scheduled for the 2011/12 academic year is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.
- An approval visit is required before and to replace the currently planned visit for 2011/12 academic year to assess the programme against the standards of education and training.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2011-02-04	f	EDU	PPR	Major Change - NSPC - Doctorate PPCo - FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	1
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Programme title	FdSc Paramedic Emergency Care
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Paramedic
Date of submission to HPC	23 December 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitor	Vince Clarke (Paramedic)
HPC executive	Ben Potter

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Programme leader change

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- CV for Mr David Lighton

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.

- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	1
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Programme title	FdSc Paramedic Emergency Care
Mode of delivery	Mixed mode
Relevant part of HPC register	Paramedic
Date of submission to HPC	23 December 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitor	Vince Clarke (Paramedic)
HPC executive	Ben Potter

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Programme leader change

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- CV for Mr David Lighton

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.

- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	1
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Programme title	FdSc Paramedic Emergency Care
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant part of HPC register	Paramedic
Date of submission to HPC	23 December 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitor	Vince Clarke (Paramedic)
HPC executive	Ben Potter

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Programme leader change

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- CV for Mr David Lighton

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.

- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Radiographer
Relevant modality	Diagnostic radiographer
Date of submission to HPC	13 December 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Martin Benwell (Diagnostic radiographer)
HPC executive	Ben Potter

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

There has been a change of programme leader for diagnostic radiography. The individual concerned is a therapeutic radiographer with considerable experience as evidenced by their C.V. and will also be leading the therapeutic radiography programme.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV of Anne Isobel Laurie FHEA PG Cert DCR(T) CV of Kathleen Scott
--

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Therapeutic Radiography
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Radiography
Relevant modality	Therapeutic radiographer
Date of submission to HPC	13 December 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitor	Simon Walker (Therapeutic radiographer)
HPC executive	Ben Potter

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

There has been a change of programme leader. The individual concerned is a therapeutic radiographer with considerable experience as evidenced in their C.V

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Anne Isobel Laurie FHEA PG Cert DCR(T)
--

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Programme name	Diploma of Higher Education Operating Department Practice
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Operating department practitioner
Date of submission to HPC	2 December 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Penny Joyce (Operating department practitioner)
HPC executive	Lewis Roberts

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Education provider has informed the HPC of a change in course leader from David Fotheringham Brears to Martin Reilly.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV of new course leader Major change submission document Context pack

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Sheffield
Programme name	B.Med Sci (Hons) Speech
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Speech and language therapist
Date of submission to HPC	10 December 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Martin Duckworth (Speech and language therapist)
HPC executive	Ruth Wood

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change of Course Director to Judy Clegg.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- Context Pack BMedSci
- HPC Major Change document BMed course director 2010-2
- HPC Major Change Notification Form - new CD BMedSci
- June 2010 Mins (extract of notes of University of Sheffield Human Communication Sciences Management Group meeting 7 June 2010)
- J Clegg CV 10 September 2010

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Sheffield
Programme name	MMED Sci Clinical Communication Studies
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Speech and language therapist
Date of submission to HPC	10 December 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Martin Duckworth (Speech and language therapist)
HPC executive	Ruth Wood

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change of Course Director to Kathryn Trott

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- Context Pack MMedSci
- HPC Major Change document MMed course director
- HPC Major Change Notification Form - new CD MMedSci
- June 2010 Mins (extract of notes of University of Sheffield Human Communication Sciences Management Group meeting 7 June 2010)
- CV-Kate Trott November 2010

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	Swansea University
Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant part of HPC register	Podiatry/Chiropody Radiography Physiotherapy
Relevant entitlement	Supplementary prescribing
Date of submission to HPC	11 November 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Jim Pickard (Podiatrist)
HPC executive	Lewis Roberts

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change in course management

Change in school management structure

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

<p>CV Mark Edwards CV Aoife Hollywood Structure Document SETs Mapping</p>

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Wales Institute Cardiff
Awarding institution (if different from education provider)	University of Wales
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
Relevant part of HPC register	Biomedical scientist
Date of submission to HPC	8 November 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Mary Popeck (Biomedical scientist)
HPC executive	Lewis Roberts

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The education provider has made us aware of a change in programme director from Robert Williams to Delia Ripley.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV for Delia Ripley Major change SETs mapping document

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Wales Institute Cardiff
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Human Nutrition and Dietetics
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Dietitian
Date of submission to HPC	22 November 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Fiona McCullough (Dietitian)
HPC executive	Ruth Wood

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The programme leader for this programme changed as of September 2010. The new programme leader is on the HPC Register.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

Mapping document Staff CVs Lecturer and module information
--

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Imaging
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Radiographer
Relevant modality	Diagnostic radiographer
Date of submission to HPC	23 November 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitor	Martin Benwell (Radiographer)
HPC executive	Benjamin Potter

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The education provider has highlighted a programme leader change from Simon Messer to Karen Dunmall.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV for Karen Dunmall

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Programme name	Graduate Diploma Diagnostic Imaging
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC register	Radiographer
Relevant modality	Diagnostic radiographer
Date of submission to HPC	23 November 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitor	Martin Benwell (Radiographer)
HPC executive	Benjamin Potter

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The education provider has highlighted a programme leader change from Simon Messer to Karen Dunmall.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV for Karen Dunmall

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Programme name	Prescribing Principles (Level 3)
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant part of HPC register	Podiatry/Chiropody Radiography Physiotherapy
Relevant entitlement	Supplementary prescribing
Date of submission to HPC	26 November 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitor	Jim Pickard (Podiatrist)
HPC executive	Benjamin Potter

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change in course management
Change in school management structure

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV Debbie Moreno Major Change Notification Form Context pack
--

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors	2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Programme name	Prescribing Principles (M Level)
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant part of HPC register	Podiatrist/Chiropodist Radiographer Physiotherapist
Relevant entitlement	Supplementary Prescribing
Date of submission to HPC	26 November 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitor	Jim Pickard (Podiatrist)
HPC executive	Benjamin Potter

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change in course management
Change in school management structure

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV Debbie Moreno

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.