

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details1
Section Two: Submission Details1
Section Three: Additional Documentation2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Brighton
Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing (Level 3)
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Relevant part of HPC register	Podiatrists, Radiographers Physiotherapists
Relevant modality	Diagnostic Radiography Therapeutic Radiography
Relevant entitlements	Supplementary prescribing
Date of submission to HPC	17 September 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Jim Pickard (Podiatrist) Gordon Pollard (Paramedic)
HPC executive	Benjamin Potter

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 6 Assessment

A new external examiner has been appointed to the programme.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV Dr Christine Thorpe

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Major Change Visitors' Report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details1
Section Two: Submission Details1
Section Three: Additional Documentation2
Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors2

Section One: Programme Details

Name of education provider	University of Brighton
Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing (M Level)
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Relevant part of HPC register	Podiatrists, Radiographers Physiotherapists
Relevant modality	Diagnostic Radiography Therapeutic Radiography
Relevant entitlements	Supplementary prescribing
Date of submission to HPC	17 September 2010
Name and profession of HPC visitors	Jim Pickard (Podiatrist) Gordon Pollard (Paramedic)
HPC executive	Benjamin Potter

Section Two: Submission Details

Summary of change

SET 6 Assessment

A new external examiner has been appointed to the programme.

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

CV Dr Christine Thorpe

Section Three: Additional Documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The SETs for which additional documentation was requested is listed below with reasons for the request.

Section Four: Recommended outcome of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- there is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- there is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed overleaf. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.