

Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Education provider	Bournemouth University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Gill Pearson Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:



Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Science
Education provider	University of Hertfordshire
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**

> **Gill Pearson** Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

visitors report approval

Signed:



Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Education provider	The University of Northampton
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**

> **Gill Pearson** Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

visitors report approval

Signed:



Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Practitioner (Community Emergency Health)
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Gill Pearson `
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:



Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	Diploma in Higher Education Paramedic Studies (Community Emergency Health)
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**

Gill Pearson Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

visitors report approval

Signed:



Major change - ongoing approval

Programme name	Graduate Diploma Paramedic Practitioner (Community Emergency Health)
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:



Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	Diploma of Higher Education Operating Department Practice
Education provider	University Campus Suffolk
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Gill Pearson
Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:



Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	Foundation Degree Paramedic Science
Education provider	Teesside University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**

> **Gill Pearson** Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:



Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Speech Sciences
Education provider	University College London
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**

> **Gill Pearson** Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

visitors report approval

Signed:



Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy (Situated Learning)
Education provider	University of East London
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**

> **Gill Pearson** Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

visitors report approval

Signed:



Major change - ongoing approval

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Education provider	University of East London
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Gill Pearson Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:



Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Education provider	University of East London
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**

> **Gill Pearson** Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

visitors report approval

Signed:



Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Podiatric Medicine
Education provider	University of East London
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**

> **Gill Pearson** Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:



Major change – ongoing approval

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Podiatric Medicine
Education provider	University of East London
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	10 March 2010

Panel: **Helen Davis (Chair)**

> **Gill Pearson** Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

visitors report approval

Signed: