

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Clinical Language Sciences (Speech and Language Therapy)
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Bob Fellows (Paramedic) Caroline Sykes (Speech and Language Therapist)
Education executive	Paula Lescott
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-04	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report - Leeds Met - BSc (Hons) SLT - FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University
Programme name	MA Art Psychotherapy Practice
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
HPC visitors	Katherine Heathcote (Physiotherapist) Simon Willoughby-Booth (Art therapist)
Education executive	Ben Potter
Date of assessment day	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Additional documents:

- Annual review meeting Art Psychotherapy 15.06.2008
- ARPSY 2010 Specification
- ARPSY Student Handbook
- ARPYS COURSE LEADERS REPORT Nov 2007
- Award Classification NHS Students 2007-09
- Award Completion & Attainment NHS Students 2007-09

- Demographic Profiles NHS Courses 2009
- FACULTY OF HEALTH ANNUAL RESEARCH REPORT 2008-2009
- FINAL HIGH RES 090825_36546_HealthCounts0709 LW_HiRes
- Major review action plan 2008
- First Destination by Course 2009-10
- Healthy ambitions - Health ReportPDF_LoRes
- MA Art Psychotherapy notes 261108
- Nursing Retention Strategy
- Retention data for NHS Courses 2006-09
- Subject Groups Report
- Summer 2009 art psy practice notes and action plan

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Visitors' comments

The visitors noted that in the documentation there was an issue around the provision of library resources at the 'satellite' site in Sheffield with students' access to the main provision at Leeds a problem. The visitors suggest that the programme team continue to monitor this provision to allow students based in Sheffield to have access to library resources comparable to students based in Leeds. The visitors also noted that a lack of information prior to attending university was an issue specifically for non-UK students regarding accommodation. There were also issues with the provision of information for all students regarding fees and information about Leeds in the 'welcome pack'. The visitors suggest that the programme team identify why this might be the case and work to rectify this situation for future cohorts.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report Leeds Met - MA Art Psychotherapy Practice - ft&pt	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University
Programme name	MSc Occupational Therapy (Pre-registration)
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Sarah Johnson (Occupational Therapist) Julie Weir (Operating Department Practitioner)
Education executive	Mandy Hargood
Date of assessment day	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

- Faculty Annual quality reports 2007/2008 and 2008/2009
- Annual NHS contract review 2009

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Visitors' Comments

The visitors noted the changes to staffing due to the restructuring of the Faculty (5 WTE to 3.9 WTE). Whilst the visitors were happy that this is sufficient, any further staff changes/reduction would need to be reported to HPC for consideration.

The visitors also recommended that the new audit system for practice placements for the Faculty that has been implemented as part of the Strategic Health Authority contract is kept under review.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-04	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report Leeds Met MSc OT (pre-reg) FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University
Programme name	MSc Physiotherapy (pre-registration)
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitors	Katherine Heathcote (Physiotherapist) Simon Willoughby-Booth (Art Therapist)
Education executive	Ben Potter
Date of assessment day	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Additional documentation:

- Action Plan MSc Physiotherapy Nov 2007
- Award Classification NHS Students 2007-09
- Award Completion & Attainment NHS Students 2007-09

- Demographic Profiles NHS Courses 2009
- FACULTY OF HEALTH ANNUAL RESEARCH REPORT 2008-2009
- Major Review action plan 2008
- First Destination by Course 2009-10
- Healthy ambitions - Health Report
- MSc Physio action plan 19-11-08
- MSc Physio action plan May 08 Action Plan Appendix 2d
- MSc Physio Minutes Annual review meetings May 2008
- MSc Physio notes 19-11-08
- MSc Physio 08-09 June 2009
- Nursing Retention Strategy
- Retention data for NHS Courses 2006-09
- Subject Groups Report

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report Leeds Met - MSc Physiotherapy - ft	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University
Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Mode of delivery	Part time
HPC visitor(s)	Bob Fellows (Paramedic) Caroline Sykes (Speech and Language Therapist)
Education executive	Paula Lescott
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Visitors' Comments

The visitors noted from the external examiners' reports provided that the external examiner to the programme appeared to have changed from Audrey Carver in 2007/2008 to Donna McConnell 2008/2009. They wished to point out to the programme team that any future changes to the external examiner, if they are not on our Register, should be submitted to HPC as a major change.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-04	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report - Leeds Met - Non-Med Prescribing - PT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	University of Lincoln
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
HPC visitor(s)	Dianne Gammage (Drama therapist) Glyn Harding (Paramedic) Peter Ruddy (Biomedical Scientist)
Education executive	Ruth Wood
Date of assessment day / postal review	18 March 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Other documents:

- CV for Claire Miller
- CV for Issam Hussain
- Equality and Diversity Commitment
- Accreditation of Prior Learning (APL) Policy

- University Regulations
- Marking Policy
- Admissions Policy

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The additional documentation is listed below with reasons for the request. Following receipt of the documentation, the visitors made a final recommendation which can be found in Section Four.

3.2 The programme must be managed effectively.

Reason

The external examiners reports submitted for 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 made comments referring to inconsistencies in the double marking of assessments and the delivery of feedback to students about their assessments. The audit documentation submitted showed that the education provider had not adequately addressed these comments. The visitors require further evidence that these concerns have been addressed by the programme team to ensure the management of the programme appropriately takes into account both student feedback and the external examiner reports.

3.4 There must be an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff in place to deliver an effective programme.

Reason

The annual monitoring reports for 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 identified issues around staffing. The annual monitoring report for 2007-2008 had identified a 0.5 post had been approved to resolve the staffing issues raised but had been subsequently 'frozen'. The annual monitoring report for 2008-2009 again identified the staffing issue and noted it had 'not been addressed'. The visitors are concerned by this known issue of there not being an adequate number of staff in place in regards to the increase in student numbers, and require further evidence to ensure the programme is being delivered effectively by an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-26	b	EDU	PPR	AM Report - Lincoln BSc (Hons) Applied BS FT&PT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	London South Bank University
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Therapeutic Radiography
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time (In Service)
HPC visitor(s)	Angela Duxbury (Therapeutic radiographer) Shaaron Pratt (Diagnostic radiographer)
Education executive	Lewis Roberts
Date of assessment day / postal review	17 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Annual monitoring declaration form 2008-2009

Annual monitoring audit form 2008-2009

Approval and accreditation board data schedule of radiography education provision College of Radiographers 2007-2008 and 2008-2009

Visitors report annual monitoring and annual monitoring audit 2008-2009

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-17	a	EDU	RPT	AM Report - LSB - BSc (Hons) BS - FT and PT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	London South Bank University
Programme name	Pg Dip Therapeutic Radiography
Mode of delivery	Full Time
HPC visitor(s)	Angela Duxbury (Therapeutic radiographer) Shaaron Pratt (Diagnostic radiographer)
Education executive	Lewis Roberts
Date of assessment day / postal review	17 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Annual monitoring declaration form 2008-2009

Annual monitoring audit form 2008-2009

Approval and accreditation board data schedule of radiography education provision College of Radiographers 2007-2008 and 2008-2009

Visitors report annual monitoring and annual monitoring audit 2008-2009

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-17	a	EDU	RPT	AM Report - LSB - Pg Dip TRAD - FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	London South Bank University
Programme name	Pg Dip Therapeutic Radiography
Mode of delivery	Full Time
HPC visitor(s)	Angela Duxbury (Therapeutic radiographer) Shaaron Pratt (Diagnostic radiographer)
Education executive	Lewis Roberts
Date of assessment day / postal review	17 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Annual monitoring declaration form 2008-2009

Annual monitoring audit form 2008-2009

Approval and accreditation board data schedule of radiography education provision College of Radiographers 2007-2008 and 2008-2009

Visitors report annual monitoring and annual monitoring audit 2008-2009

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-17	a	EDU	RPT	AM Report - LSB - Pg Dip TRAD - FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	The University of Northampton
Programme name	Diploma of Higher Education in Paramedic Science
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Bob Fellows (Paramedic) Caroline Sykes (Speech and Language Therapist)
Education executive	Paula Lescott
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Visitors' Comments

The visitors noted that the Internal Quality Documents for 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 provided by the education provider contained a large amount of similarities which raised some concerns. The visitors wished to recommend that the education provider bears this in mind for future submissions and monitoring.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-04	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report - Northampton - DipHE PA - FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	3
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	3

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Northumbria University at Newcastle
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Sciences
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
HPC visitor(s)	Fiona McCullough (Dietitian) Pradeep Agrawal (Biomedical Scientist)
Education executive	Lewis Roberts
Date of assessment day / postal review	04 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Other documents submitted:

- Current copy of contract with SHA
- Student and employer placement guides
- ABMS Handbook 2009-10
- School ADP 2008-9
- Curriculum vitae of staff members
- BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Sciences programme specification

- ABMS training programme 2008
- Chronological training programme 2008
- Clinical Biochemistry team meeting minutes
- School of Applied Sciences L&T Strategy 2007 - 2010
- Module guides
- Employer Liaison committee Minutes
- Training the Trainers 2009 Programme
- Regional Workforce Development Group Minutes
- Policy regarding CRB
- Diversity Strategy (pdf)
- Draft Discipline Development Plan
- BMS Awayday Programme 2009
- Programme Review Committee minutes
- Hard copy of course prospectus (not available electronically)

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-09-01	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-09-01	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Northumbria University at Newcastle
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Sarah Johnson (Occupational Therapist) Julie Weir (Operating Department Practitioner)
Education executive	Mandy Hargood
Date of assessment day	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

- Education Audit of Practice 2010

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-04	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report Northumbria BSc (Hons) OT FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Northumbria University at Newcastle
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitors	Katherine Heathcote (Physiotherapist) Simon Willoughby-Booth (Art Therapist)
Education executive	Ben Potter
Date of assessment day	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Additional documentation:

- Education Audit of Practice Document - Feb 10[1]

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report Northumbria - BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy -ft	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Northumbria University at Newcastle
Programme name	Diploma of Higher Education Operating Department Practice
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Sarah Johnson (Occupational Therapist) Julie Weir (Operating Department Practitioner)
Education executive	Mandy Hargood
Date of assessment day	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
 - Internal quality report for one year ago
 - Internal quality report for two years ago
 - External Examiner's for one year ago
 - External Examiner's Report for two years ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Education Audit of Practice 2010

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-04	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report Northumbria Dip HE ODP FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Northumbria University at Newcastle
Programme name	MSc Occupational Therapy (Pre-registration)
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Sarah Johnson (Occupational Therapist) Julie Weir (Operating Department Practitioner)
Education executive	Mandy Hargood
Date of assessment day	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
 - Internal quality report for one year ago
 - Internal quality report for two years ago
 - External Examiner's for one year ago
 - External Examiner's Report for two years ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Education Audit of Practice 2010

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-04	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report Northumbria MSc OT FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Northumbria University at Newcastle
Programme name	MSc Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitors	Katherine Heathcote (Physiotherapist) Simon Willoughby-Booth (Art Therapist)
Education executive	Ben Potter
Date of assessment day	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Additional documentation:

- Education Audit of Practice Document - Feb 10[1]

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report Northumbria - MSc Physiotherapy -ft	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Sarah Johnson (Occupational Therapist) Julie Weir (Operating Department Practitioner)
Education executive	Mandy Hargood
Date of assessment day	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
 - Internal quality report for one year ago
 - Internal quality report for two years ago
 - External Examiner's for one year ago
 - External Examiner's Report for two years ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Revised module descriptors
 - Staff CVs

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	b	EDU	PPR	AM Report QMU BSc (Hons) OT FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Emma Supple (Podiatrist) Derek Adrian-Harris (Radiographer)
Education executive	Ruth Wood
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Other Documents Submitted:

- CV for Programme Leader
- Interprofessional Education module descriptors

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report - QMU BSc (Hons) CH - FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Speech and Language Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Bob Fellows (Paramedic) Caroline Sykes (Speech and Language Therapist)
Education executive	Paula Lescott
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
 - Internal quality report for one year ago
 - Internal quality report for two years ago
 - External Examiner's for one year ago
 - External Examiner's Report for two years ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to HPC Visitors' report 2007
 - Student feedback on clinical placement experience
 - Student feedback on non-clinical placement experience

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Visitors' Comments

The visitors noted the number of changes that had taken place to the programme team for Speech and Language Therapy programmes at the education provider over the period associated with this audit. They recognised that these concerns had been addressed as part of the approvals visit that took place in December 2009.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	b	EDU	PPR	AM Report - QMU - BSc (Hons) SLT - FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme name	BSc Podiatry
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Emma Supple (Podiatrist) Derek Adrian-Harris (Radiographer)
Education executive	Ruth Wood
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Other Documents Submitted:

- CV for Programme Leader
- Interprofessional Education module descriptors

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report - QMU BSc CH - FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme name	Graduate Diploma Speech and Language Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Bob Fellows (Paramedic) Caroline Sykes (Speech and Language Therapist)
Education executive	Paula Lescott
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
 - Internal quality report for one year ago
 - Internal quality report for two years ago
 - External Examiner's for one year ago
 - External Examiner's Report for two years ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to HPC Visitors' report 2007
 - Student feedback on clinical placement experience
 - Student feedback on non-clinical placement experience

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Visitors' Comments

The visitors noted the number of changes that had taken place to the programme team for Speech and Language Therapy programmes at the education provider over the period associated with this audit. They recognised that these concerns had been addressed as part of the approvals visit that took place in December 2009.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	b	EDU	PPR	AM Report - QMU - Grad Dip SLT - FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme name	Pg Dip Radiotherapy and Oncology
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Emma Supple (Podiatrist) Derek Adrian-Harris (Radiographer)
Education executive	Ruth Wood
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report - QMU PgDip RA - FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)	2
Visitors Comments	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme name	Pharmacology for Podiatrists
Mode of delivery	Part time
HPC visitor(s)	Emma Supple (Podiatrist) Derek Adrian-Harris (Radiographer)
Education executive	Ruth Wood
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Visitors' Comments

The external examiners report for 2007-2008 noted that for the overall programme (the MSc Podiatry) there was a potential issue with the ethical requirements for the dissertation project. The external examiner highlighted that the education provider may want to consider a review of the ethics process. The visitors wish to support this recommendation to ensure the team is made fully aware of any relevant ethical issues that proposed projects may generate.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report - QMU POM for CH -PT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)	2
Visitors Comments	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme name	Pharmacology for Podiatrists
Mode of delivery	Part time
HPC visitor(s)	Emma Supple (Podiatrist) Derek Adrian-Harris (Radiographer)
Education executive	Ruth Wood
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Visitors' Comments

The external examiners report for 2007-2008 noted that for the overall programme (the MSc Podiatry) there was a potential issue with the ethical requirements for the dissertation project. The external examiner highlighted that the education provider may want to consider a review of the ethics process. The visitors wish to support this recommendation to ensure the team is made fully aware of any relevant ethical issues that proposed projects may generate.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report - QMU POM for CH -PT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2
Visitors' Comments.....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme name	MSc Dietetics
Mode of delivery	Full Time Part Time
HPC visitor(s)	Fiona McCullough (Dietitian) Pradeep Agrawal (Biomedical Scientist)
Education executive	Lewis Roberts
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Visitors' Comments

The visitors want it to be noted that they recommend the education provider consider a more in depth submission for future annual monitoring audits. In particular they felt that the minutes to the education providers meetings that were submitted were lacking in detail. For future submissions they would like it to be noted that more detail around student feedback and placement audits would be useful.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-09-01	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)	2
Visitors' Comments.....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme name	Pg Dip Dietetics
Mode of delivery	Full Time Part Time
HPC visitor(s)	Fiona McCullough (Dietitian) Pradeep Agrawal (Biomedical Scientist)
Education executive	Lewis Roberts
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Visitors' Comments

The visitors want it to be noted that they recommend the education provider consider a more in depth submission for future annual monitoring audits. In particular they felt that the minutes to the education providers meetings that were submitted were lacking in detail. For future submissions they would like it to be noted that more detail around student feedback and placement audits would be useful.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2009-09-01	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Mode of delivery	Part time
HPC visitor(s)	Sarah Johnson (Occupational Therapist) Julie Weir (Operating Department Practitioner)
Education executive	Mandy Hargood
Date of assessment day	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-04	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report SHA BSc (Hons) OT FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Programme name	MSc Occupational Therapy (Pre-registration)
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Sarah Johnson (Occupational Therapist) Julie Weir (Operating Department Practitioner)
Education executive	Mandy Hargood
Date of assessment day	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
 - Internal quality report for one year ago
 - Internal quality report for two years ago
 - External Examiner's for one year ago
 - External Examiner's Report for two years ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Assessment schedule for 2011-2012

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-04	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report SHA MSc OT FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	University of Ulster
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Radiography (Diagnostic)
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Emma Supple (Podiatrist) Derek Adrian-Harris (Radiographer)
Education executive	Ruth Wood
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

The programme was visited by the HPC in the academic year 2006-2007. The Internal quality report for the year following is therefore not available as the programme had not run for a complete year at that point.

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report -Ulster BSc (Hons) DRAD - FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	University of Ulster
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Radiography (Therapeutic)
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Emma Supple (Podiatrist) Derek Adrian-Harris (Radiographer)
Education executive	Ruth Wood
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

The programme was visited by the HPC in the academic year 2006-2007. The Internal quality report for the year following is therefore not available as the programme had not run for a complete year at that point.

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	a	EDU	PPR	AM Report - Ulster BSc (Hons) TRAD - FT	Draft DD: None	Public RD: None

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section One: Programme Details	1
Section Two: Submission Details	1
Section Three: Additional Documentation	2
Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s).....	2

Section One: Programme Details

Education provider	University of Ulster
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Speech and Language Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
HPC visitor(s)	Bob Fellows (Paramedic) Caroline Sykes (Speech and Language Therapist)
Education executive	Paula Lescott
Date of assessment day / postal review	4 May 2010

Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

There is sufficient evidence that the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to meet the standards of proficiency for the profession. An approval visit is not required and continued approval should be granted.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2010-05-27	b	EDU	PPR	AM Report - Ulster - BSc (Hons) SLT - FT	Final DD: None	Public RD: None