

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Speech and Language Therapy
Name of education provider	City University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	11 June 2009

Panel : Eileen Thornton, Chair
John Donaghy
Alan Mount
Jeff Seneviratne
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Eileen Thornton (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	MSc Speech & Language Therapy
Name of education provider	City University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	11 June 2009

Panel : Eileen Thornton, Chair
John Donaghy
Alan Mount
Jeff Seneviratne
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Eileen Thornton (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Pg Dip Speech & Language Therapy
Name of education provider	City University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	11 June 2009

Panel : Eileen Thornton, Chair
John Donaghy
Alan Mount
Jeff Seneviratne
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Eileen Thornton (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Dietetics
Name of education provider	University of Hertfordshire
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	11 June 2009

Panel : Eileen Thornton, Chair
John Donaghy
Alan Mount
Jeff Seneviratne
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Eileen Thornton (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	11 June 2009

Panel : Eileen Thornton, Chair
John Donaghy
Alan Mount
Jeff Seneviratne
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Eileen Thornton (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	FD in Pre-Hospital Unscheduled and Emergency Care
Name of education provider	University of Worcester
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	11 June 2009

Panel : Eileen Thornton, Chair
John Donaghy
Alan Mount
Jeff Seneviratne
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Eileen Thornton (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Speech Pathology & Therapy
Name of education provider	Manchester Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	11 June 2009

Panel : Eileen Thornton, Chair
John Donaghy
Alan Mount
Jeff Seneviratne
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Eileen Thornton (Chairman of the Panel)

**Health Professions Council
Education and Training Committee Panel**

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Non-Medical Prescribing (level 3)
Name of education provider	University of Salford
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	11 June 2009

Panel : Eileen Thornton, Chair
John Donaghy
Alan Mount
Jeff Seneviratne
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Eileen Thornton (Chairman of the Panel)

**Health Professions Council
Education and Training Committee Panel**

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Non-Medical Prescribing (M Level)
Name of education provider	University of Salford
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	11 June 2009

Panel : Eileen Thornton, Chair
John Donaghy
Alan Mount
Jeff Seneviratne
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Eileen Thornton (Chairman of the Panel)