

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Colchester Institute</b> <b>(Validating body/awarding body):</b> <b>University of Essex</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time accelerated</b> <b>Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>2 December 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
**Pam Sabine, Chair**  
**Karen Bryan**  
**John Harper**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Neil Willis**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Pam Sabine (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Pharmacology for Podiatrists</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Glasgow Caledonian University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>2 December 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
**Pam Sabine, Chair**  
**Karen Bryan**  
**John Harper**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Neil Willis**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Pam Sabine (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Manchester Metropolitan University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b> <b>Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>2 December 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
**Pam Sabine, Chair**  
**Karen Bryan**  
**John Harper**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Neil Willis**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Pam Sabine (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Speech &amp; Language Therapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Queen Margaret University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>2 December 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
**Pam Sabine, Chair**  
**Karen Bryan**  
**John Harper**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Neil Willis**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Pam Sabine (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Graduate Diploma Speech &amp; Language Therapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Queen Margaret University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>2 December 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
**Pam Sabine, Chair**  
**Karen Bryan**  
**John Harper**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Neil Willis**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Pam Sabine (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Foundation Degree in Paramedic Science</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of Hertfordshire</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>2 December 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
**Pam Sabine, Chair**  
**Karen Bryan**  
**John Harper**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Neil Willis**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Pam Sabine (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Speech &amp; Language Therapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of Manchester</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>2 December 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
**Pam Sabine, Chair**  
**Karen Bryan**  
**John Harper**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Neil Willis**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Pam Sabine (Chairman of the Panel)**



## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Speech &amp; Language Pathology</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of Strathclyde</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>2 December 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
**Pam Sabine, Chair**  
**Karen Bryan**  
**John Harper**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Neil Willis**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Pam Sabine (Chairman of the Panel)**