

**Agenda Item 4**

**Enclosure 2**

**Paper ETC 26/02**

## **Education and Training Committee**

**MATTERS ARISING SINCE THE LAST MEETING  
NOT APPEARING ELSEWHERE ON THE AGENDA**

**From the Secretary**

**FOR INFORMATION**

<u>Minute reference :</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Comment</u>
02/45 2.	Membership	Confirmation of Mr. Ian Massey's appointment awaited at time of preparing the agenda.
02/48 5.2	Pre-Registration etc. Groups	All groups now appointed.
02/50 7.15	Mutual Exclusivity of appointments at HPC	Remitted to Council – see minutes of meeting on 14 May 2002 in due course.
02.53	Scottish AHP Officer	For completeness the following Officers have specific responsibility for AHP matters in the four UK Health Depts. : England – Avril Imison Wales – Nuala McArdle Scotland – to be appointed, but two officers have AHP functions: Jackie Lundy (project officer), Marilyn Barrett (HR).
02/49 6.3	Meeting with UUK and SCOP	Now being rescheduled for July, but all those invited to the cancelled meeting on 8 May 2002 have been invited to the SCOP event on 12 June 2002.

**Notes from the QA Strategic Meeting between NMC, HPC, DoH and QAA**  
**30 April 2002**

Present : Prof. Maggie Pearson – Deputy Director HRD  
Ms Sandy Goulding – Head of QA  
Ms Jane Marr ) Senior QA co-ordinators  
Ms Ruth Howkins )  
Mr. Adrian Reyes-Hughes )  
Ms Janice Gosby ) NMC  
Prof. David Sines )  
Prof. Diane Waller ) HPC  
Dr. Peter Burley )

1. Patricia le Rolland presented an overview of QAA's position and located the continuing health funded courses' subject review (and prototype reviews) within it. The prototype reviews were proceeding satisfactorily but they were confidential. Some general issues were emerging including:- the balance between the size of team and the amount time required for a review (ie. fewer reviewers needed more time each), practitioner reviewers needed assistance to engage in the technicalities of HE, ideally QAA's reviewers should link in with the HEI's own practitioner training days, the balance between time on campus and time in practice placements, and the need for the relevant registrant reviewers' views to prevail in professional matters. The Workforce Development Confederations (WDC) were now acting as partners in the process rather than as commissioners of it. The HEIs' Self-Evaluation Documents now had to be signed off by the relevant WDCs.
2. DoH confirmed its intent to create a post-registration qualifications framework.
3. It was agreed that there were unique opportunities arising now to collaborate between stakeholders. There were two areas of complexities. The first was the number of professions (15) and four different countries. It was agreed that DoH needed to be proactive in avoiding gaps and dissonances between UK Countries. It was agreed that this function needed to be reviewed at DoH in the light of the changes in recent years.
4. There could be an oversight group to oversee the 2003 – 6 subject review roll-out. It would need to be inclusive of all stakeholders, sectors, and funders.
5. The evaluation of the prototype reviews would inform stakeholders if QAA was the best candidate for the 2003 – 6 reviews.
6. A common data-set would be the sticking point for all new arrangements if they could not be agreed.
7. It emerged that the MoU signed by SHPC automatically carried over to the HPC first transitional period under the HPO transitional provisions unless or until deliberately altered.
8. There would be a six monthly meeting of this group and an annual review of the MoU.
9. NB. all the same issues were re-rehearsed at the Prototype Reviews Steering Group in the afternoon.